

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradenjark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                    | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/748,994                         | 12/29/2003  | Alfred Monteleone    | 1592-1              | 8239             |
| 7590 07/13/2004                    |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| JOHN MAIER, III<br>666 AARON COURT |             |                      | LEUNG, PHILIP H     |                  |
| KINGSTON, NY 12401                 |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,                                  |             |                      | 3742                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | . ^ ^   |
|---|---|---|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |
|   | 10/748,994  | MONTELEONE ET AL.   |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |
|   | Philip H Leung  | 3742  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply   | appears on the cover sheet w  | vith the correspondence address   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a l. is reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |   |   |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _  | <u>.</u> .  |   |
| ·   | This action is non-final.   |   |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allo  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | •   |
| closed in accordance with the practice und  | er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I  | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers   | drawn from consideration.   |   |
|   | oin or  |   |
| <ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Exam</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the </li> </ul>   | is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐<br>the drawing(s) be held in abeya<br>rection is required if the drawing  | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a   | ents have been received.  ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | Application No  n received in this National Stage   |
| Attachment(s)   |   |   |
| 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  |   | Summary (PTO-413)<br>(s)/Mail Date  |
| 3) Notice of Printspersoris 1 defit Brawing Newtow (170-340)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-29-2003.   |   | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |

1. The drawings filed 12-29-2003 are acceptable.

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly

indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

3. Claim 14 is objected to by the Examiner as the term "the lines" at the last two lines has

no proper antecedent basis. Correction is needed. Furthermore, there are numerous

typographical errors in the specification and the claims, for example, at the end of claim 7, the

punctuation mark ";" should be "." instead; in claim 10, "ane" at line 2 should be 'and"; in claim

15, "wh3erein" should be "wherein"; on page 1 of the specification, "Martin" at line 8 should be

"Moretti" instead; "insulated" at line 9 and "but" at line 16 are also misspelled. It is suggested

the entire specification and claim section be reviewed to correct all errors.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3742

5. Claims 1, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin (US 5,179,259), in view of Leutloff (DE 31 43 808) or Zeffner (DE 36 39 717).

Martin shows "a microwave heating system using a heat conductive medium comprising: a heater including: a shell 500 forming an enclosure having an upper end and a lower end, a heating coil 300 located in the enclosure, the heater coil having an upper end and a lower end (see Figure 5A) and having an inverted frusta-conical shape, the upper end of the coil being larger than the lower end, a plurality of magnetrons (502, 504; 512, 514) mounted adjacent and at the upper end of the heating coil for directing microwave energy into the heating coil, an electrical distribution system (516-582) connected to the magnetron (see Figure 5F), a return line for supplying the heat conductive medium into the heater coil adjacent the lower end of the shell, a line means connected to the heating coil toward the upper end of the enclosure and extending outside the shell; heat exchanger means connected to the line means to receive heat conductive medium and connected to the return line; and a circulator located in the return line (see Figures 6, 7 and 9). Therefore, it shows substantially every feature and structure as claimed except for the use of three magnetron with one magnetron being located at the upper end of the heating coil and the other two magnetrons being located on opposite sides of the heating coil for directing microwave energy into the heating coil. Leutloff shows a microwave water heater using a plurality of microwave generators 16 at all sides of the water containers 18 (see Figures 1-4 and the English abstract). Zeffner also shows a microwave device for heating water using a plurality of microwave generators 20 each located at the upper, the bottom and the two sides of the water vessel 10 (see Figure 2 and the English abstract). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art to modify Martin to use a microwave generator on each side of the heating coil so that

Art Unit: 3742

the water in the coil is subjected to even amount of microwave energy for more uniform and rapid heating, in view of the teaching of Leutloff or Zeffner. In regard to claim 4, the use of an insulating material within the microwave heating enclosure is well known in the art. In regard to claim 6, Martin also shows the use of a fan 554 for blowing air into the water heating casing (see Figure 5F and col. 13, line 54 – col. 14, line 43).

6. Claims 2, 3, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin (US 5,179,259), in view of Leutloff (DE 31 43 808) or Zeffner (DE 36 39 717), as applied to claims 1, 4 and 6 above, and further in view of Kaarup (US 4,358,652) (cited by the applicant).

As set forth above, Martin combined with Leutloff or Zeffner shows every feature and structure as claimed except for the explicit showing of the use of a pressure valve and/or a water leakage detector. Kaarup shows that it is well known in the art of microwave water heaters to use a pressure relief valve 34, 35 and 65 to adjust the pressure within the water pipes to maintain a safe pressure within the heating system and also a water leakage sensor 61, 62 in the bottom of the container case and a drain system 63 and 64 to prevent damages due to water leakage (see Figure 2 and col. 3, lines 39-60). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art to modify Martin to use a pressure relief valve to prevent over pressure buildup in the heating coil system and a water leak detector to reduce damages for a safer water heating system, in view of the teaching of Kaarup.

Art Unit: 3742

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin (US 5,179,259), in view of Leutloff (DE 31 43 808) or Zeffner (DE 36 39 717), as applied to claims 1, 4 and 6 above, and further in view of Varadan et al (US 5,296,666).

As set forth above, Stubbs combined with Martin shows every feature and structure as claimed except for the microwave leakage detector. Varadan shows that it is well known in the art of microwave heating devices to use a microwave leakage sensor to shut down and/or inform the user when excessive microwave leakage is detected (see col. 3, lines 21-45). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Stubbs to use a microwave leakage detector to shut down the system to protect the operator for a safer system, in view of the teaching of Varadan.

8. Claims 7, 10, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stubbs (US 4,114,011), in view of Martin (US 5,179,259).

Stubbs shows "A microwave heating system using a heat conductive medium comprising: a heater including: a shell 16 forming an enclosure and having an upper end and a lower end, a heating coil 41 located inside the shell, at least one magnetron 36 for directing microwave energy into the heating coil, an electrical distribution system (inherent) connected to the magnetron, a return line 21, 42 for supplying the heat conductive medium into the heating coil adjacent the upper end of the shell; a feed line 22, 43 connected to the heating coil toward the lower end of the shell and extending outside the shell; a supply line 17; and means for connecting the feed line to the supply line, a domestic hot water heater including: a first heat exchanger 18 having two ends, at least one medium tube located inside the first heat exchanger,

Art Unit: 3742

the other branch of the supply line being connected to the medium tube at one end of the first heat exchanger, the return line being connected to the medium tube 20 at the other end of the first heat exchanger, at least one water tube located in the first heat exchanger, a second heat exchanger 19 having two ends, a water coil located in the second heat exchanger, having two ends, the water tube being connected to the water coil at both ends; and heater lines connected to the return line to convey heat conductive medium for heating purposes from the return line and back to the return line; and at least one circulator 23 located in the return line" (see Figures 1 and 2 and col. 2, line 17 – col. 3, line 32). Therefore, Stubbs shows every feature as claimed except that the return line (the inlet) is located on the upper part of the casing 16 and the outlet is at the bottom instead. Martin shows a microwave heating system for water including a frusta-conical coil system 300 inside a casing 500 and the water inlet 100 is at the bottom and the outlet 200 is at the upper part of the casing 500. It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art to modify Stubbs to place the water inlet at the bottom of the housing when the heating coil is a frusta-conical shape for more efficient heating of the water, in view of the teaching of Martin. Martin also shows the electrical distribution system for connection to the magnetron as shown in Figure 5F. The exact pipe connection between the two heat exchanger and the water heater would have been a mere engineering design variation depending on the exact structural arrangement of the house being heated. In regard to claim 10, Stubbs shows the well known use of insulation 39 in the heating enclosure of the microwave heating system (see Figures 2 and 3). In regard to claim 12, Martin shows the use of a fan 554 as claimed. In regard to claim 13, Martin shows the inverted frusta-conical heating coil 300 as claimed.

Art Unit: 3742

9. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stubbs (US 4,114,011), in view of Martin (US 5,179,259) as applied to claims 7, 10, 12 and 13 above, and further in view of Kaarup (US 4,358,652).

As set forth above, Stubbs combined with Martin shows every feature and structure as claimed except for the water leakage detector. Kaarup shows that it is well known in the art of microwave water heaters to use a water leakage sensor 61, 62 in the bottom of the container case and a drain system 63 and 64 to prevent damages due to water leakage (see Figure 2 and col. 3, lines 39-60). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art to modify Stubbs to use a water leak detector to reduce water damages for a safer water heating system, in view of the teaching of Kaarup.

10. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stubbs (US 4,114,011), in view of Martin (US 5,179,259) as applied to claims 7, 10, 12 and 13 above, and further in view of Varadan et al (US 5,296,666).

As set forth above, Stubbs combined with Martin shows every feature and structure as claimed except for the microwave leakage detector. Varadan shows that it is well known in the art of microwave heating devices to use a microwave leakage sensor to shut down and/or inform the user when excessive microwave leakage is detected (see col. 3, lines 21-45). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Stubbs to use a microwave leakage detector to shut down the system to protect the operator for a safer system, in view of the teaching of Varadan.

Art Unit: 3742

11. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stubbs (US 4,114,011), in view of Martin (US 5,179,259) as applied to claims 7, 10, 12 and 13 above, and further in view of Leutloff (DE 31 43 808) or Zeffner (DE 36 39 717).

As set forth above, Stubbs combined with Martin shows every feature and structure as claimed except for the use of three magnetron with one magnetron being located at the upper end of the heating coil and the other two magnetrons being located on opposite sides of the heating coil for directing microwave energy into the heating coil. Leutloff shows a microwave water heater using a plurality of microwave generators 16 at all sides of the water containers 18 (see Figures 1-4 and the English abstract). Zeffner also shows a microwave device for heating water using a plurality of microwave generators 20 each located at the upper, the bottom and the two sides of the water vessel 10 (see Figure 2 and the English abstract). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art to modify Stubbs combined with Martin to use a microwave generator on each side of the heating coil so that the water in the coil is subjected to even amount of microwave energy for more uniform and rapid heating, in view of the teaching of Leutloff or Zeffner.

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Izzo (US 6,064,047) is further cited to show a microwave water heating system having similar claimed features.

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip H Leung whose telephone number is (703) 308-1710.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (703) 305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip H Leung

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3742

P.Leung/pl 7-9-2004